UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,019	06/15/2006	Michael Pies	CH8456/LeA36830	6117
34947 LANXESS CO	7590 08/28/2007 R POR ATION	EXAMINER		
III RIDC PARK WEST DRIVE			WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15275-1112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/583,019	PIES ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sikarl A. Witherspoon	1621				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .					
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureaction for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/12/07</u> .	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/583,019

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pandey et al (Synthesis, 1982) and Brown (US 2,765,335).

The instant claims are drawn to a method of hydrodehalogenating a halogenated meta-cresol by contacting said compound with a catalyst comprising palladium and/or platinum, and optionally copper, said catalyst being applied to a titania or alumina support.

Pandey et al teach the hydrodehalogenation of 4-chloro-m-cresol with palladium-on-carbon, in a formic acid/dimethylformamide solvent solution. The reference is silent on reaction temperature; however, the examiner presumes all reactions taught in the reference are conducted at reflux temperature (p 878, table).

The differences between Pandey et al and the instant claims are that Pandey et al do not teach a titania or alumina support, does not teach the instant reaction temperature, and does not teach the amount of hydrogen employed, as recited in the instant claims.

Brown however, teaches the production of o-cresol by hydrodehalogenation of 6chloro-cresol. The catalyst exemplified by Brown is a nickel catalyst; however, the Art Unit: 1621

reference teaches that other hydrogenation catalysts, such as platinum, palladium, silver, and copper, may be employed. The catalyst is support on a refractory material, such as alumina. The reaction temperature exemplified is from 300 to 350° C, but would vary depending on the catalyst used. Hydrogen is fed at a hydrogen to chlorocresol ratio of 5 to 1 (col. 1, line 1 to col. 3, line 61).

In view of the combined reference teachings, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a different catalyst support, such as alumina or silica, in the process taught by Pandey et al. It would have also been obvious to adjust the reaction temperature, based on the catalyst employed, in order to maintain the activity of the catalyst, as well as finding the optimum temperature for removing chlorine from the chloro-cresol without causing a disruption of the benzene ring.

Claim Objections

Claims 3-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to a previous claim in the alternative only, and should not depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,019 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

saw

SIKARL A. WITHERSPOON
PRIMARY EXAMINER